Acoustics and Ultrasonics for Downhole Oil and Gas Logging

Eric Molz

MSS Piezo Technologies

2015



© Meggitt PLC.

Presentation Roadmap



Well Drilling Method

Equipment and process



Oil and Gas Wells Well drilling process

- » Upper pipe section rotated, lower pipe sections, drill bit rotated
- » Closed-loop mud circulated
 - From surface down center of the pipe and out the jets in the drill bit
 - Return to surface the outside pipe
 - Suspended cuttings carried to the surface
 - Mud cleaned and returned to pit
- » New pipe sections added as depth increases



Sonic Open Hole Wireline Logging

Formation Compressional and Shear Sound Speed Measurement



Open-Hole Wireline Logging Evaluating the Drilled Formation

- Wireline tools
 - o 5 cm to 15 cm in diameter
 - o 3-6 meters length
 - o 50 to 250 Kg weight
- Tool string
 - Array of tools screwed together
- Cable
 - Lowers and retrieves tool string
 - Provides tool power
 - Sends tool data to surface 30 kB





Open-Hole Wireline Tools for Formation Evaluation Resistivity, Density, Porosity, and Acoustic

- » Resistivity
 - Formation: containing salt water in pores conducts
 - Formation: containing hydrocarbons in pores insulates
- » Nuclear (gamma) density
 - Formation: 1.7 g/cc to 4.0 g/cc
- » Nuclear (neutron) porosity
 - Formation: 0% porous to 40% porous
- » Sound speed
 - Formation v_L : 2000 m/sec to 5000 m/sec
 - Formation v_s: 1200 m/sec to 3000 m/sec

Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Measure Formation Compressional and Shear Velocity

» Monopole source (<20 KHz)</p>



- » Attenuator
- » Vertical receivers arrays
 - o 6-8 vertical elements
 - o 3m to 5m
 - o 0°, 90°, 180°, 270°



Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Media and Coordinate Systems

- » Fluid-filled borehole radius R
- » Elastic formation
- » Cylindrical coordinates (r,φ,z)



» Monopole source $r = R_0$, z = 0, no Φ dependence



Sonic Wireline Tools Imperfect Cylindrical Waveguide

Non-refracted angles $\theta_i < \theta_C$



Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Displacement Potentials





Sonic Wireline Tools Relating A₁, A₂, B₁, B₂, C₁, C₂

(1) Use Hooke's Law to get stress and strains

(2) Use boundary conditions

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Borehole Surface}}\\ u_r(R) = u_{rf}(R)\\ \sigma_{rr}(R) = P_f(R)\\ \sigma_{rz}(R) = 0, \quad eliminates B_2 \end{array}$

$$\frac{\text{Tool Surface}}{u_r(R_0) = u_{rf}(R_0)}$$
$$\sigma_{rr}(R_0) = P_f(R_0)$$
$$\sigma_{rz}(R_0) = 0, \quad eliminates C_2$$

Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Dispersion Relations

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_{11} & \theta_{12} & \theta_{13} & \theta_{14} \\ \theta_{21} & \theta_{22} & \theta_{23} & \theta_{24} \\ \theta_{31} & \theta_{32} & \theta_{33} & \theta_{34} \\ \theta_{41} & \theta_{42} & \theta_{43} & \theta_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ C_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad det \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Gives dispersion relations for two trapped modes

Stoneley Wave Interface wave that decays from fluidformation interface Pseudo-Rayleigh Wave Symmetric collective motion of borehole





Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Stoneley and Pseudo-Rayleigh Dispersion Curves

PHASE VELOCITY

GROUP VELOCITY



Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools P(z,t) Synthetic Micro-Seismograms

 $P(z,t) = integral \ of A_1 \ and \ A_2 \ over \ frequency \ \omega \ and \ wavenumber \ \kappa$

Assume no tool is present, $A_2 = 0$ as $K_0 \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0$

*Assume acoustic source is present and provides radial displacement $u_S = -mK_1(m_1R)e^{i(\kappa z - \omega t)}$ and stress $\sigma_S = -\rho_f K_0(m_1R)e^{i(\kappa z - \omega t)}$ at the borehole wall

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_{11} & \theta_{12} \\ \theta_{21} & \theta_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -m_1 K_1(m_1 R) \\ -\rho_f K_0(m_1 R) \end{bmatrix}$$

Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Synthetic Micro-Seismograms

 $P(z,t) = integral over frequency \ \omega \ and \ wavenumber \ \kappa$

$$P(z,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(\omega) A_{1}(\omega,\kappa) e^{i\kappa z} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega d\kappa$$

source functon, $S(\omega) = \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2} e^{-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}$

$$A_1(\omega,\kappa) = \frac{gK_1(m_1R) - K_0(m_1R)}{gI_1(m_1R) + I_0(m_1R)}$$

$$g = \frac{m_1 \rho_F}{m_2 \rho_f} \left\{ \left[\frac{2\kappa^2 v_S^2}{\omega^2} \right] \frac{K_0(m_2 R)}{K_1(m_2 R)} + \frac{2v_S^2 m_2 m_3}{\omega^2} \left[\frac{1}{m_3 R} + \frac{2\kappa^2 v_S^2 K_0(m_3 R)}{\omega^2 K_1(m_3 R)} \right] \right\}$$

Singularities in integral produce solution P(z,t), trapped and leaky modes

Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Four Borehole Modes: two guided, two leaky

Stoneley Wave

Pseudo-Rayleigh Wave

Refracted Compression Wave

Refracted Shear





Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Micro-Seismogram for Monopole Source

Stoneley Wave (ST)

Pseudo-Rayleigh Wave (pR)

Refracted Compressional (P)

Refracted Shear (S)



Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Depth-Based Logs for v_1 , v_s , and v_{sT}

Acoustic Log Value

- Lithology identification
- Formation elastic parameters
 K, Y, μ, and ν
- Casing thickness selection
- Seismic tie-in
- Formation porosity
- Formation permeability (v_{ST})



Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools The Big Issue

Assumption: $v_S > v_f -$ "Fast Formation"

Problem: formation can have v_s that is slower than v_f – "Slow Formation"

- no critical angle
- no leaky shear wave
- no v_s measurement

Solution: 2 orthogonal dipole sources added near monopole source





Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Using the Pseudo-Rayleigh Wave

Create pseudo-Rayleigh "Flexural Wave"

- no low frequency cut off
- Iow frequency (>1 KHz) v_{Flexural} ≈ v_S

Two orthogonal 700 Hz dipole sources produce depth-based v_s log

- Formation v_S asymmetry
- Formation stress asymmetry
- Formation fracture asymmetry



Sonic Open-Hole Wireline Tools Micro-Seismogram for Dipole Source

Low Frequency Pseudo-Rayleigh Wave (p-R)



Well Completion Method

Equipment and Process



Oil and Gas Wells

Casing Installation and Cementing Process

- Float collar and shoe installed at well bottom
- Threaded casing and centralizers installed to well bottom
- Spacer fluid, bottom plug, cement, top plug added
- Pressure increased, top plug displaces to well bottom
- Cement allowed to cure, high pressure removed –



Oil and Gas Wells Perforation and Pumping Process

- Perforation tool lowered to proper depth (pay-zone behind production casing)
- Explosives remotely fired, holes in casing created
- Pumping and recovery can begin







Ultrasonic Cased Hole Logging

Casing Thickness Inspection (CTI) and Cement Bond Evaluation (CBE) Using Ultrasound

Ultrasonic Cased Hole Wireline Tools Measuring the Steel Casing and Cement Condition

"Cased-hole" wireline logging is also performed as needed. This is not for formation evaluation, rather it is for well structural health inspection.

Potential Problems

- Casing metal loss to galling or corrosion
- Missing or poorly bonded cement sheath

Ramifications

- Blowout prevention
- Zonal fluid contamination





Ultrasonic Cased Hole Logging Tool Casing Thickness, Cement Bond Log

Tool with ultrasonic transducer on a rotating head in fully cased and cemented well



Ultrasonic Cased Hole Logging Measurement Thickness Resonance Condition

Steel Casing Thickness t 6mm to 12mm nominal 19mm to 25mm for HP wells

Steel Casing Thickness Mechanical Resonance, $f_t = \frac{v_c}{2t}, v_c \approx 5800 \text{ m/s}$



Ultrasonic Cased Hole Logging Measurement Reverberation Analysis

Measurement – Reverberation Analysis (10X for clarity)

 Fourier analysis of reverberation determines local casing thickness, identifies localized metal loss

 Rate of reverberation decay determines cement bond quality and cement impedance (2 to 12 MRayl)



Ultrasonic Cased Hole Logging Transducer High-Bandwidth, Short-Ringdown

Transducer

- Pulse band should contain resonance frequency and expected frequencies after metal loss
 - Transducer shown emits 183 KHz to 470 KHz, designed for evaluating 0.5" nominal casing eroding to 0.2"



MFGC

Ultrasonic Cased Hole Logging Transducer Frequency Content of Reverberation

Pulse-echo data from steel plates:

12.7mm, 10.7mm, 9.45mm, and 8.97mm thick



Ultrasonic Cased Hole Logging Transducer Frequency Content of Reverberation

Fourier spectrum show excellent correlation between measured and predicted (dashed) resonant frequencies





Ultrasonic Cased Hole Logging Tool Casing Thickness, Cement Bond Log

- Motorized rotating head yield azimuthal coverage
- Tool retrieval yields vertical coverage





Ultrasonic Cased Hole Logging Tool Major Weaknesses

Environments of non-functionality

- High attenuation fluid Unusable in heavy drilling mud or gas-filled fluid
- Thick casing (>0.75") pulse-echo transducer response becomes insufficient below 150 KHz
- Lightweight cement (<3.0 MRayls) decay indiscernible from decay of free pipe



Thank you!



© Meggitt PLC.